

Research Update:

DRAFT: Richmond Housing Partnership 'A+' Rating Affirmed; Outlook Stable

June 24, 2021

S&PGR Affirms Richmond Housing Partnership At 'A+'

Overview

- We expect Richmond Housing Partnership (RHP) will continue to focus on its core traditional affordable social housing activities.
- Increased investment to improve the energy efficiency of its existing stock will weaken profitability during the forecast period. This will be offset by the group's excellent liquidity position and solid interest coverage.
- We affirmed our 'A+' long-term issuer credit rating on RHP.
- The stable outlook indicates that we expect RHP's management to deliver its investment and development plan as per our base case without putting undue pressure on credit metrics.

Rating Action

On June XX, 2021, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'A+' long-term issuer credit rating on U.K.-based social housing provider Richmond Housing Partnership (RHP). The outlook is stable.

We also affirmed our 'A+' issue rating on the £275 million senior secured debt issued by RHP's funding vehicle, RHP Finance PLC.

Outlook

The stable outlook indicates that we expect RHP's management to deliver its investment and development plan as per our base case, without putting undue pressure on credit metrics. Under this scenario, we expect profitability to remain in line with our base case and that the group's debt intake will remain very moderate, with debt to non-sales adjusted EBITDA remaining structurally below 20x.

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Celia Franch Lopez
London
+ 44 20 7176 0100
celia.franch_lopez
@spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Noa Fux
London
+ 44 2071 760730
noa.fux
@spglobal.com

Downside scenario

We could lower the ratings on RHP should the group's investment and development program require more debt funding than we currently anticipate. Under such a scenario, we would likely observe a worsening of the liquidity position and a deterioration in interest coverage metrics.

Upside scenario

Conversely, we could raise the ratings if RHP improved its financial performance such that adjusted EBITDA margins structurally exceed 30%, while keeping debt metrics moderate.

Rationale

The affirmation reflects our view that RHP's management navigated the stresses of the pandemic adequately, and that it is well positioned to manage future challenges, especially in regard to its investments in energy efficiency.

The 'A+' rating is underpinned by the group's focus on low-risk traditional housing activities, its very strong market dependencies, and its highly experienced management team.

A key strength of the rating remains the group's consistent focus on traditional social housing tenures, which we view as having low industry risk, as these activities provide a predictable revenue stream. We expect that Richmond will continue to have no development for outright sales and modest shared-ownership targets in its development plan.

We consider that RHP benefits from very strong market dependencies, as it operates in an area of high demand for its affordable housing units. In its historical areas of operation (Richmond, Kingston, Hounslow, and Hillingdon), average social rent represents a low 39% of market rents, which speaks to the attractiveness of RHP's stock. In addition, vacancy rates are relatively low, averaging 1.1% over the past three years. We expect a small, but temporary, increase in arrears and drop in rent collection in the financial years ending March 31, 2021 and 2022, followed by a recovery. We also anticipate that vacancies will remain low, in line with the market average.

In our base case, we now forecast that S&P Global Ratings-adjusted EBITDA margins will structurally weaken to stand at 25% of revenue on average, compared with more than 35% historically. We expect profitability will come under pressure from increased investment to improve the energy efficiency of RHP's existing stock, and from the group's exposure to lower-margin shared ownership activities. In our previous base case, we incorporated a temporary weakening in profitability due to the cost of the fire safety upgrades, followed by a quick recovery in margins from FY2023; we no longer expect a quick recovery.

The group's investment in its existing stock is linked to its current carbon neutrality targets. Future changes to health and safety regulations could require additional investment and weigh more heavily on profitability than we currently expect. These additional costs are likely to be partially offset by the consumer price index (CPI) plus 1% rent settlement and new rental income from the group's ongoing development efforts.

The pandemic created delays to planning and development, in particular to new starts on site. Only 60 units were completed in FY2021. We expect that RHP will increase development over the three-year forecast period as it aims to complete 1,500 homes over the next 10 years. The group will continue to target development in its core geographies in West London, mainly in Richmond. We expect 60% of its planned developments will be in Richmond over the next five years. Exposure

Research Update: Richmond Housing Partnership 'A+' Rating Affirmed; Outlook Stable

to shared ownership sales is likely to remain very limited, at below 10% of total revenue on average, in line with last year's plan. Management still has no appetite for exposure to outright market sales.

We forecast that nominal debt will not increase materially over FY2022-FY2024, but that weakening profitability will put pressure on debt metrics. We expect that debt to nonsales EBITDA will deteriorate to an average of 18.6x over FY2022-FY2024, from 12.2x in FY2020. Despite this deterioration, we still forecast that the group's debt ratio will remain below 20x structurally. We also expect that RHP's non-sales interest coverage will remain solid on average 1.7x during FY2022 to FY2024. During FY2021, the group issued a £100 million tap on its existing bond. This allowed it to fix all of its debt; reducing its overall cost of debt and boosting its available undrawn facilities. We see this as evidence of the group's robust financial policies.

We assess the regulatory framework under which registered providers of social housing in England operate as strong, underpinned by their public policy mandate to provide affordable homes. We also consider that the sector benefits from solid ongoing oversight by the Regulator for Social Housing (RSH). These strengths are offset, in our view, by the relatively low levels of grant funding that the providers in England receive for the development of affordable homes. We consider that providers in England have the ability to develop homes for outright sale, using the proceeds as an alternative funding source, however, we think that this exposes them to risks and potential volatility compared with providers in other regions. Providers in England are also subjected to negative intervention from the U.K. government in the form of constraints on rent setting or additional spending responsibilities, without adequate additional funding. In our view, this weighs on the regulatory framework assessment.

We believe there is a moderately high likelihood that RHP would receive extraordinary support from the government via the RSH in case of financial distress. This is neutral to the rating on Richmond. As one of the key goals of the RSH is to maintain lender confidence and low funding costs across the sector, we believe the RSH is likely to step in to try and prevent a default in the sector. The RSH has a record of mediating mergers or arranging liquidity support from other registered providers in cases of financial distress and we think this would apply to Richmond.

Liquidity

We assess RHP's liquidity position as excellent. We expect sources of liquidity to exceed planned uses by around 3.8x over the next 12 months. The development program to which the group is committed is fully funded in advance of RHP's needs. We view RHP'S access to external liquidity as satisfactory.

We forecast liquidity sources will comprise:

- Forecast cash generated from continuing operation: £21 million;
- Cash and liquid investments: £61 million;
- Proceeds from asset sales: £1 million;
- The undrawn, available portion of committed bank facilities or bank lines maturing beyond the next 12 months that can be drawn: £147 million; and
- Expected ongoing cash injections from a government: £3 million.

We forecast uses of liquidity will comprise:

- Expected capital expenditure: £53 million; and

Research Update: Richmond Housing Partnership 'A+' Rating Affirmed; Outlook Stable

- Interest: £9 million.

Key Statistics

Table 1

Richmond Housing Partnership--Key Statistics

Mil. £	--Year ended March 31--				
	2020a	2021e	2022bc	2023bc	2024bc
Number of units owned or managed	10,461	10,453	10,545	10,667	10,785
Adjusted operating revenue	57.63	61.56	60.20	70.36	66.77
Adjusted EBITDA	20.50	19.54	15.79	17.39	17.05
Nonsales adjusted EBITDA	19.75	19.08	15.11	15.55	16.32
Capital expense	22.24	28.90	46.27	66.98	59.00
Debt	240.32	273.00	273.00	283.45	319.45
Interest expense	9.36	8.24	8.74	8.91	9.70
Adjusted EBITDA/Adjusted operating revenue (%)	35.56	31.74	26.23	24.72	25.54
Debt/Nonsales adjusted EBITDA (x)	12.17	14.31	18.06	18.22	19.58
Nonsales adjusted EBITDA/interest coverage(x)	2.11	2.32	1.73	1.75	1.68

a--Actual. e--Estimate. bc--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectations of the most likely scenario.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Table 2

Richmond Housing Partnership--Ratings Score Snapshot

	Assessment
Enterprise risk profile	2
Industry risk	2
Regulatory framework	3
Market dependencies	2
Management and governance	2
Financial risk profile	3
Financial performance	4
Debt profile	4
Liquidity	1

S&P Global Ratings bases its ratings on non-profit social housing providers on the seven main rating factors listed in the table above. S&P Global Ratings' "Methodology For Rating Public And Nonprofit Social Housing Providers," published on June 1, 2021, summarizes how the seven factors are combined to derive each social housing provider's stand-alone credit profile and issuer credit rating.

Related Criteria

- Criteria | Governments | General: Methodology For Rating Public And Nonprofit Social Housing Providers, June 1, 2021
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, July 1, 2019
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011
- General Criteria: Stand-Alone Credit Profiles: One Component Of A Rating, Oct. 1, 2010

Related Research

- Global Regulatory Framework Report Card For Public And Nonprofit Social Housing Providers Published, June 8, 2021
- United Kingdom 'AA/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable, April 23, 2021
- Building Up Debt: U.K. Social Housing Sector Braces Itself For Borrowing, March 16, 2021
- Global Social Housing Ratings Score Snapshot: December 2020, Dec. 10, 2020
- Global Social Housing Ratings Risk Indicators: December 2020, Dec. 10, 2020
- Outlook 2021: Strong Liquidity Should Help Social Housing Providers Remain Resilient, Dec. 8, 2020
- ESG Industry Report Card: Public And Nonprofit Social Housing Providers Outside The U.S., Aug. 4, 2020
- U.K. Social Housing Providers Should Remain Largely Resilient To Short-Term Economic Difficulties From COVID-19, April 23, 2020
- COVID-19: Emerging Market Local Governments And Non-Profit Public-Sector Entities Face Rising Financial Strains, April 6, 2020
- U.K. Social Housing Ratings History: February 2020, March 3, 2020

Ratings List

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at https://www.standardandpoors.com/en_US/web/guest/article/-/view/sourceld/504352 Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.capitaliq.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.