

Research Update:

U.K.-Based Richmond Housing Partnership 'A+' Rating Affirmed; Outlook Remains Negative

June 21, 2023

Overview

- We expect Richmond Housing Partnership Ltd. (RHP) to invest materially in its existing properties, which, alongside inflationary cost pressures, will continue to strain its financial performance over the next three years, with a gradual recovery toward fiscal year 2026.
- We forecast that RHP's debt will not increase materially although weaker S&P Global Ratings-adjusted EBITDA will pressure debt metrics.
- We affirmed our 'A+' long-term issuer credit rating on RHP. The outlook remains negative.

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Natalia Legeeva
London
44 20 7176 0618
natalia.legeeva
@spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Noa Fux
London
44 2071 760730
noa.fux
@spglobal.com

Rating Action

On June 21, 2023, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'A+' long-term issuer credit rating on U.K.-based social housing provider Richmond Housing Partnership Ltd. (RHP). The outlook remains negative.

We also affirmed our 'A+' issue rating on the £275 million senior secured debt issued by RHP's funding vehicle, RHP Finance PLC.

Outlook

The negative outlook reflects the risk that higher-than-anticipated costs, coupled with inflationary pressures, could weaken RHP's financial metrics beyond our current forecast.

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating on RHP over the next 12 months if rising costs keep management from improving its financial performance in the medium term, leading to financial metrics materially weaker than our current projections; for example, adjusted EBITDA margins remaining structurally below 20%. In our view, such a scenario could also weigh on the group's liquidity position.

Upside scenario

We could revise the outlook back to stable if RHP improved its financial performance, such that adjusted EBITDA margins structurally exceeded 20%, while also financing its development program with only moderate debt accumulation.

Rationale

The rating on RHP reflects our view that the group benefits from cautious debt and liquidity management and low exposure to sales risk. These factors, alongside exceptional liquidity and a solid market position, support our rating. Nevertheless, we forecast that high investment in existing stock relating to fire safety and energy efficiency will continue to squeeze the group's financial indicators and debt metrics.

Enterprise profile: Supported by strong debt and liquidity policies and the group's focus on non-risky social housing activities

In our view, RHP's management is experienced and has adequate expertise in the housing sector. The group focuses on low-risk traditional housing activities, with sales exposure remaining below 10% of total revenue. In our view, RHP actively managed its pre-funding needs and secured relatively low interest rates, limiting its borrowing needs under the unfavorable market conditions. Additionally, RHP follows an extensive set of risk standards and internal golden rules. We understand that the group has updated its strategy regarding investments in existing assets to address stock quality requirements. This resulted in higher investment costs related to fire safety than previously forecast and added pressure on financial metrics. Anticipating this, management loosened its internal golden rules to allow the additional investment and hence the weakening of financials. Though we acknowledge management's efforts to adjust the plans to investment requirements and deteriorated market conditions, we consider that this step is stretching the group's financial capacity.

RHP operates in West London, mainly Richmond, which has high demand for affordable housing units. The group's vacancy rates averaged 1.4% over the past three years, in line with the sector average. The strong demand is supported by RHP's low average social and affordable rent, which is only about 45% of market rent in the areas where it operates.

We assess the regulatory framework under which registered providers of social housing in England operate as strong (for more information, see "Global Regulatory Framework Report Card For Public And Nonprofit Social Housing Providers," published on June 8, 2021).

Financial profile: Financial and debt metrics will remain pressured, but improve over the next three years

We expect Richmond's financial metrics to remain under pressure over the next three years, but gradually recover toward the fiscal year 2026 (ending March 31). Under our updated projections, adjusted EBITDA margins will remain close to 20% of revenue on average over FY2024-FY2026. The main pressure stems from the increased investment in existing assets, which is linked to fire safety works and the group's target for all its social housing properties to achieve Energy Performance Certificate C levels by 2030. In addition, inflation will further aggravate the forecast strain on the cost base, but we expect it to reduce over the medium term, alleviating the pressure

from financial indicators.

We don't expect nominal debt to increase materially over FY2024-FY2026, but weaker adjusted EBITDA will squeeze RHP's debt metrics. We expect the debt to S&P Global Ratings-adjusted non-sales EBITDA ratio to remain around 20x and interest coverage to average about 1.6x over our forecast horizon. All RHP's drawn debt is at fixed rates, which reduces the group's exposure to the interest-rate volatility.

We view RHP's liquidity position as extremely strong, with sources covering uses by 5x over the coming 12 months. Sources include £225 million, mainly cash and undrawn available facilities, as well as cash from operations, adding back the noncash cost of sales. The group's liquidity uses of about £45 million primarily comprise capital expenditure and interest and principal payments. We also view RHP's access to external liquidity as satisfactory and consider that the group has a track record of securing funding ahead of time.

Government-related entity analysis

We believe that there is a moderately high likelihood that RHP would receive extraordinary support from the government via the Regulator for Social Housing (RSH) in case of financial distress. This leads us to apply one notch of uplift to the stand-alone credit profile. As one of the key goals of the RSH is to maintain lender confidence and low funding costs across the sector, we believe the RSH is likely to step in to try and prevent a default in the sector. The RSH has a record of mediating mergers or arranging liquidity support from other registered providers in cases of financial distress and we think this would apply to Richmond.

Key Financial Indicators

Table 1

Richmond Housing Partnership--Key Statistics

(Mil. £)	--Year ended March 31--				
	2022a	2023e	2024bc	2025bc	2026bc
Number of units owned or managed	10,995	11,133	11,316	11,371	11,456
Adjusted operating revenue	62.4	66.4	75.0	72.6	75.7
Adjusted EBITDA	12.9	12.8	13.1	14.4	15.3
Non-sales adjusted EBITDA	12.0	12.8	12.9	14.4	15.3
Capital expense	23.9	15.4	30.9	28.5	17.3
Debt	273.2	273.1	273.0	270.0	277.0
Interest expense	8.1	8.1	9.1	9.1	9.3
Adjusted EBITDA/Adjusted operating revenue (%)	20.6	19.3	17.5	19.8	20.2
Debt/Non-sales adjusted EBITDA (x)	22.7	21.3	21.2	18.8	18.1
Non-sales adjusted EBITDA/interest coverage(x)	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.6	1.6

a--Actual. e--Estimate. bc--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectations of the most likely scenario. N.A.--Not available.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Table 2

Richmond Housing Partnership--Ratings Score Snapshot

	Assessment
Enterprise risk profile	3
Industry risk	2
Regulatory framework	3
Market dependencies	2
Management and Governance	3
Financial risk profile	3
Financial performance	4
Debt profile	5
Liquidity	1

S&P Global Ratings bases its ratings on non-profit social housing providers on the seven main rating factors listed in the table above. S&P Global Ratings' "Methodology For Rating Public And Nonprofit Social Housing Providers," published on June 1, 2021, summarizes how the seven factors are combined to derive each social housing provider's stand-alone credit profile and issuer credit rating.

Related Criteria

- General Criteria: Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings , Oct. 10, 2021
- Criteria | Governments | General: Methodology For Rating Public And Nonprofit Social Housing Providers , June 1, 2021
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology , July 1, 2019
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions , March 25, 2015
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings , Feb. 16, 2011
- General Criteria: Stand-Alone Credit Profiles: One Component Of A Rating , Oct. 1, 2010

Related Research

- United Kingdom Outlook Revised To Stable From Negative On Moderating Fiscal Risks; 'AA/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed, April 21, 2023
- U.K. Social Housing Borrowing 2023: On Pause, March 28, 2023
- Economic Outlook U.K. Q2 2023: Growth Eludes This Year Even As Inflation Eases, March 27, 2023
- Non-U.S. Social Housing Providers Ratings Risk Indicators: March 2023, March 27, 2023
- Non-U.S. Social Housing Providers Ratings History: March 2023, March 27, 2023

- Non-U.S. Social Housing Providers Ratings Score Snapshot: March 2023, March 27, 2023
- U.K. Social Housing Providers Set Their Sights On Cyber Risks, Dec. 16, 2022
- Non-U.S. Social Housing Sector Outlook 2023: The Most Negative Bias Since 2018 Implies Significant Pressure On Ratings, Dec. 1, 2022
- Inflation To Erode The Performance Of U.K. Public Finance Sectors, Nov. 29, 2022
- Cap On Rent Increases Is Consistent With Our Base Case For English Social Housing Providers, Nov. 17, 2022
- The U.K. Social Housing Sector Now Displays A More Pronounced Negative Bias In Its Creditworthiness, Oct. 11, 2022
- Launch Of Rent Cap Consultation Adds Uncertainty To Creditworthiness Across English Housing Sector, Sept. 1, 2022
- Rated U.K. Social Housing Providers' Creditworthiness Could Suffer If The Gap Between Rent And Cost Increases Persists, Aug. 1, 2022
- Global Regulatory Framework Report Card For Public And Nonprofit Social Housing Providers June 8, 2021

Ratings List

Ratings Affirmed

Richmond Housing Partnership

Issuer Credit Rating A+/Negative/--

Richmond Housing Partnership

Senior Secured A+

RHP Finance PLC

Senior Secured A+

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at https://www.standardandpoors.com/en_US/web/guest/article/-/view/sourceId/504352 Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; or Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914

Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.